Transition States for the Hydrogen Atom Abstraction Reaction by α-Oxygen Substituted Radicals: Felkin-Anh Rule in Radical Chemistry

Wolfgang Damm, Joachim Dickhaut, Frank Wetterich, and Bernd Giese*

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 19, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

Key Words: stereochemistry; radicals; ab initio calculations; transition states; conformational analysis; ESR-spectroscopy

Abstract: Ab initio calculations on transition states of the hydrogen atom abstration by α -oxygen substituted radicals show that the Felkin-Anh rule can be applied to radical chemistry.

Recently, Giese and Curran et al. have shown that Cram's rule can be applied to radical chemistry.¹ We concluded that the transition state of hydrogen atom abstraction with oxygen substituted radicals 1 resembles the Felkin-Anh transition state 2 of ionic reactions. An important feature of the Felkin-Anh² transition state is the nearly tetrahedral angle of attack between the developing C,H-bond and the C,O-bond of the carbonyl group.³ To see whether in radical hydrogen atom abstraction the angle of attack is also non-perpendicular, we calculated the transition structures for the reactions of siloxyalkyl radicals **3a-c** with silane as the hydrogen atom donor.⁴



The transition structures 4-6 demonstrate that the angle of attack in the radical hydrogen atom abstraction is already tetrahedral (α =108-109°). It is remarkable that this angle is nearly independent on the bulk of the substituteds R¹ and R². Thus, the non-perpendicular attack seems to be typical for reactions of the oxygen substituted radicals (Fig. 1).⁵



Figure 1. UHF/6-31G* optimized transition structures are shown for 4, 5 and 6.

The radical centers in transition states 4-6 are bent to a considerable degree (β =135-137°). This is not surprising, because oxygen-substituted radicals are pyramidal already in the ground state.⁶ For radicals 7a,b we proved this bending by measuring the ¹³C-ESR coupling (Fig. 2).⁷ The coupling constants of 61.9 and 61.4 Gauss, respectively, show that these radicals are already pyramidal.⁶ The calculated angle of deformation in radical 7a is 144°(β , Fig. 1).⁴



Figure 2. ESR spectrum of the ¹³C -labeled compound 7a (-40°C).

In order to see whether a chiral substituent at the radical center prefers a Felkin-Anh conformation² we optimized the transition structures for chiral radical 8a, because the analogous silylated radical 8b reacts stereoselectively and gives 9b as the major product.¹ For each of the two directions of attack three transition structures with different orientations of the groups at the stereocenter were calculated with the PMP2/6-31G*//UHF/3-21G method (Fig. 3).⁸



From these transition structures (11-16) a stereoselectivity $9a : 10a = 73 : 27 (30^{\circ})$ was calculated. This is in good agreement of the observed selectivity (9b : 10b = 75 : 25, 30^{\circ}).¹



Figure 3. The top row shows the UHF/3-21G optimized structures with the hydrogen transfer from above. The bottom row shows the attack from below. Relative energies from the PMP2/6-31G^{*} single point calculation are given in kcal/mol.

In the lowest energy structure, 11, the chiral group at the radical center adopts a conformation in which the phenyl is nearly orthogonal to the C,O bond (dihedral angle=78°). The hydrogen atom donor is closer to the tertiary hydrogen atom (dihedral angle=51°, H/H-distance=246pm) than to the methyl substituent (dihedral angle=68°, H/C-distance=292pm) of the chiral group. Conformation 14, in which the phenyl group shields the opposite face is 1.7 kcal/mol higher in energy than transition structure 11, because the hydrogen donor comes closer to the methyl group of the chiral center (dihedral angle=48°, H/C-distance=277pm).⁹



433

Conclusion: The angle of attack (α , Fig. 1) on oxygen substituted radical is nearly tetrahedral. This leads to smaller steric repulsions in Felkin-Anh transion state compared to *anti*-Felkin-Anh conformations.

A detailed comparison between radical and ionic transition structures for related reactions are given by Prof. Houk and J. E. Eksterowicz.¹⁰

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. We thank Prof. Houk and John Eksterowicz for communication prior to publication.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. B. Giese, W. Damm, J. Dickhaut, F. Wetterich, D. P. Curran, S. Sun, Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 43, 6097.
- M. Chérest, H. Felkin, N. Prudent, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2199; N.T. Anh, O. Eisenstein, Nouv. J. Chim. 1977, 1, 61.
- This non-perpendicular attack of nucleophiles of carbonyl groups were first studied by: H. B. Bürgi, J. D. Dunitz, J. M. Lehn, G. Wipff, *Tetrahedron* 1974, 30, 1563; H. B. Bürgi, J. D. Dunitz, G. Wipff, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 1956; H. B. Bürgi, J. D. Dunitz, E. Shefter, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1975, 95, 5065; H. B. Bürgi, Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed., 1975, 14, 460.
- Transition structures 4-6 and radical 7a were optimized with the UHF/6-31G* method. All calculation were carried out using Gaussian 92, Revision A, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, M. Head-Gordon, P. M. W. Gill, M. W. Wong, J. B. Foresman, B. G. Johnson, H. B. Schlegel, M. A. Robb, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, J. L. Andres, K. Raghavachari, J. S. Binkley, C. Gonzalez, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. J. P. Stewart, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1992. All transition states are tested by frequency calculations.
- 5. Corresponding transition states with different hydrogen atom donors as HSMe and H₂S, or with the OH group instead of the SiH₃ substituent show the same trend.
- 6. R. D. McKelvey, T. Sugawara, H. Iwamura, Magn. Reson. Chem., 1985, 23, 330.
- Radicals 7a,b were generated from the corresponding ketones according to the procedure described in the literature.¹
- K. N. Houk, M. N. Paddon-Row, N. G. Rondan, Y. Wu, F. K. Brown, D. C. Spellmeyer, J. T. Metz, Y. Li, R. J. Loncharich, *Science*, 1986, 231, 1108.
- 9. The repulsion in the *anti*-Felkin-Anh conformation 14 is so large, that the minor product 10 is formed mainly via transition state 16.
- 10. J. E. Eksterowicz, K. N. Houk, Tetrahedron Lett. companion paper in this issue .

(Received in USA 24 September 1992; accepted 20 October 1992)